

REMARKS

In the Notice of Allowance mailed August 28, 2006, all of the pending claims (1-43 and 45-48) were allowed. During a review of the allowed claims, however, it was discovered that the language of claim 38 could be improved to add clarity. In particular, the claim recites a computer program product comprising routines for implementing certain acts. However, two of the claim elements failed to recite such routines. Instead, they only recited the acts. Accordingly, to provide clarity and consistency of the claim language, claim 38, and only claim 38, has been amended to specifically recite that the computer program product comprises routines for implementing the recited functionality. Notably, none of the amendments have been made to further narrow or limit the scope of the claims around any prior art.

Should the Examiner have any questions about the amendments made by this paper, which merely correct misspelled words, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 12th day of September, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,



RICK D. NYDEGGER
Registration No. 28,651
JENS C. JENKINS
Registration No. 44,803
Attorneys for Applicant
Customer No. 047973